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## Goals for today

1. Finish parsing and running calc programs

- Host semantics
- How to read grammars
- Parsing s-expressions

2. Develop cond, extending calc with conditionals

- See the design space of if-expressions
- Create an evaluator for cond
- The value datatype


## Logistics

- New homework released tonight
- Due next Wednesday (Jan 31), recommend you start
- Reminder: course materials on course webpage now instead of Canvas (see link on canvas)
- All slides, code, etc. goes there
- I've been tweaking the schedule a bit based on our pace, check it for latest reading
- Reminder: I am fairly closely following PLAI, use it as a resource


## Syntax and semantics of calc

Abstract syntax
(define-type Exp
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (left : Exp)
(right : Exp)] )

## Semantics

(calc : (Exp -> Number))
(define (calc e)
(type-case Exp e [(num n) n] [(plus e1 e2)
(+ (calc e1)
(calc e2))]))

## Host semantics

- I never actually told you what syntactic " + " did

```
(calc : (Exp -> Number))
(define (calc e)
    (type-case Exp e
        [(num n) n]
        [(plus e1 e2)
        (+ (calc e1)
        (calc e2))]))
```

- The semantics of "plus" in calc is inherited from Plait's semantics of "+"
- calc inherited the host semantics of " + " from Plait


## Host semantics

- Q: What is Plait's semantics of " + "?
- A: A function of type (Number Number $\rightarrow$ Number)
- Takes two arguments of Plait type Number and produces their arithmetic sum
- There are alternative semantics of " + ": perhaps it permits concatenating strings, etc...


## Host semantics

- In turn, Plait's semantics of " + " are inherited from Racket...
- ... which is inherited from Chez scheme...
- ... which is inherited from the assembly language instruction standard for running addition...



## Parsing \& grammars

- Goal of parsing: given a surface syntax description of a program, generate an abstract syntax tree



## Describing grammars in English

- An calc expression is either:
- A number
- A string of the form "(" followed by " + " followed by an expression followed by an expression followed by ")"
- This gets quite unwieldy to write down as our language gets increasingly complex
- The designers of ALGOL60 agreed, so designed a system for describing surface syntax grammars called Backus-Naur Form


## Describing Surface Syntax with Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

- BNF is a lightweight notation for describing surface syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <plus> : := (+ <e> <e>) } \\
& \text { <e> }:=\text { num | <plus> } \\
& \text { <start> }::=\text { <e> }
\end{aligned}
$$

- This grammar captures our English description of calc expressions
- The symbol <e> is called a non-terminal
- The symbol num is called a terminal: it is defined to be any syntactic Plait number ( $0.1,10,1 / 2, \ldots$
- One symbol is designated as the start symbol


## BNF and Plait Datatypes

- There is a one-to-one map between a BNF and our abstract syntax tree datatype in Plait
- The BNF includes details about the text (i.e., contains characters)

```
<plus> ::= (+ <e> <e>)
<e> ::= num | <plus>
<start> ::= <e>
```

```
(define-type Exp
    [num (n : Number)]
    [plus (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
```


## Parsing with BNF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <plus> ::= (+ <e> <e>) } \\
& \text { <e> }:=\text { num | <plus> } \\
& \text { <start> ::= <e> }
\end{aligned}
$$

<e>

$$
(+1(+23))
$$

## Parsing with BNF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <plus> ::= (+ <e> <e>) } \\
& \text { <e> }:=\text { num | <plus> } \\
& \text { <start> ::= <e> }
\end{aligned}
$$

num? no
$\downarrow$
(+ 1 (+ 2 3) )

## Parsing with BNF <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { <plus> ::= (+ <e> <e>) } \\ & \text { <e> }::=\text { num | <plus> } \\ & \text { <start> ::= <e> } \end{aligned}
$$

## Parsing with BNF <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & \text { <plus> ::= (+ <e> <e>) } \\ & \text { <e> }::=\text { num | <plus> } \\ & \text { <start> ::= <e> } \end{aligned}
$$



## Parsing with BNF <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & <\text { plus }::=(+<\mathrm{e}><\mathrm{e}>) \\ & <\mathrm{e}> \\ & \text { <start> }:=\text { num | <plus> } \\ & \text { <e> } \end{aligned}
$$

## Parsing with BNF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\text { plus }::=(+<e><e>) \\
& <e>\quad::=\text { num | <plus> } \\
& \text { <start> ::= <e> }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Parse errors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <plus> : : = (+ <e> <e>) } \\
& \text { <e> }::=\text { num | <plus> } \\
& \text { <start> ::= <e> }
\end{aligned}
$$

- A parse error occurs when there are no rules to apply

$$
+12)
$$

## Parsing ambiguity

- Suppose we have the following grammar for infix addition:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
<\text { <plus> } & ::=\text { <e> }+<e> \\
\text { <e> } & ::=\text { num | <e> }+ \text { <e> }
\end{array}
$$

- Then, we have two valid parse trees:

If there exists a sentence with more than 1
parse tree, we call a grammar
ambiguous


## Parsing with BNF

- There are many tools that, given a BNF grammar, automatically generate a parser for you
- Example: ant|r https://www.antlr.org/

```
grammar Expr;
prog: (expr NEWLINE)* ;
expr: expr ('*'|'/') expr
    expr ('+'|'-') expr
    INT
    '(' expr ')'
NEWLINE : [\r\n]+ ;
INT : [0-9]+ ;
```

```
$ antlr4-parse Expr.g4 prog -gui
10+20*30
^D
$ antlr4 Expr.g4 # gen code
$ ls ExprParser.java
ExprParser.java
```



## Parsing with s-expressions in Plait

- We don't need to worry about going from strings to plait datatypes: we can use Plait's parser

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
`\left(\begin{array}{ll}
+ & 1 \\
2
\end{array}\right) \\
-S-E x p \\
-(+12
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Shorthand for:

(list->s-exp
(list (symbol->s-exp '+)
(number->s-exp 1)
(number $\rightarrow$ s-exp 2)))

## From s-expressions to calc

(define-type Expr
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)])
(parse : (S-Exp $\rightarrow$ Expr))
(define (parse e)
(cond
[(s-exp-number? e) (num (s-exp->number e))]
[else (error 'parse "unrecognized symbol")]))

## From s-expressions to calc

(define-type Expr
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)])
(parse : (S-Exp $\rightarrow$ Expr))
(define (parse e)
(cond
[(s-exp-number? e) (num (s-exp->number e))]
[(s-exp-list? e) ???]
[else (error 'parse "unrecognized symbol")]))

## An aside on let and local

- Local defines a new scope: any definitions occurring inside local are only in scope from inside its body



## An aside on let and local

- let declares local variables (short-hand for local):



## letrec

- letrec declares local variables that can refer to each other:


## List of variable declarations

> (letrec $\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}(x 10)(y(+x 20))](+x y))\end{array}\right.\right.$

- Number

40


## From s-expressions to calc

```
(define-type Expr
    [num (n : Number)]
    [plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)])
(parse : (S-Exp -> Expr))
(define (parse e)
    (cond
    [(s-exp-number? e) (num (s-exp->number e))]
    [(s-exp-list? e)
        (let ([l (s-exp->list e)])
            (error 'parse ""))]
    [else (error 'parse "unrecognized symbol")]))
```


## From s-expressions to calc

(define-type Expr
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)])
(parse : (S-Exp -> Expr))
(parse : (S-Exp $\rightarrow$ Expr))
(define (parse e)
(cond
[(s-exp-number? e) (num (s-exp->number e))] [(s-exp-list? e)
(let ([l (s-exp->list e)])
( cond
[(empty? l) (error 'parse "empty list")]
[(symbol=? (s-exp->symbol (first l)) '+) (plus (parse
(second l)) (parse (third l)))]
[else (error 'parse "unrecognized symbol")]))]
[else (error 'parse "unrecognized s-exp")]))

## Conditionals

The language cond
https://gist.github.com/SHoltzen/8ec4d0ec 1619a623ff8a4779072eb660

## A proposed cond AST

(define-type Expr
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)]
[cnd (guard : Expr) (thn : Expr) (els : Expr)])

## Semantics of cond

- Currently our calc language has a single value type: numbers
- One option for semantics of cnd : if the guard is zero, evaluate the then branch; else evaluate the else branch
$\left(\begin{array}{lll}\text { and } & 10 & 20 \\ 30\end{array}\right) \rightarrow 20$


## Many languages have somewhat interesting semantics for if．．．

```
main.c
```

main.c
「〕 @ Save
「〕 @ Save
1 // Online C compiler to run C program online
1 // Online C compiler to run C program online
/tmp/T9huXgalyf.o
/tmp/T9huXgalyf.o
2 \#include <stdio.h>
2 \#include <stdio.h>
1 3
1 3
3
3
4 - int main() {
4 - int main() {
5 // Write C code here
5 // Write C code here
6. if(10) {
6. if(10) {
7 printf("1");
7 printf("1");
8 }
8 }
9. if(0) {
9. if(0) {
10 printf("2");
10 printf("2");
11 }
11 }
12. if(-1) {
12. if(-1) {
13 printf("3");
13 printf("3");
14 }
14 }
15
15
16 return 0;
16 return 0;
17 }

```
17 }
```


## Many languages have somewhat interesting semantics for if...



```
1 // Online Javascript Editor for free node /tmp/M76UL60pni.js
2 // Write, Edit and Run your Javascript code using 1 is true
    JS Online Compiler hmm
3
4 - if(0) {
5 console.log("0 is true");
}
7. if(1) {
8 console.log("1 is true");
9 }
10 - if("wat") {
11 console.log("hmm");
12 }
13- if("") {
14 console.log("!?");
15 }
```


## An evaluator

## (define-type Expr

[num (n : Number)]
[plus (l : Expr) (r : Expr)]
[cnd (guard : Expr) (thn : Expr) (els : Expr)])
(define (calc e)
(type-case Expr e
[(num v) v]
[(plus l r) (+ (calc l) (calc r))]
[(cnd guard thn els)
(if (equal? 0 (calc guard)) (calc thn)
(calc els))]))

## Cond with Booleans: Syntax

(define-type Exp
[num (n : Number)]
[bool (b : Boolean)]
[plus (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
[cnd (test : Exp) (thn : Exp) (els : Exp)])

Cond with Booleans: Semantics

- If the guard evaluates to \#t, evaluate thin; if guard evaluates to \#f, evaluate els; otherwise, error

$$
(1 f \text { true } 1020) \rightarrow 10
$$

## Developing an evaluator

- We will walk through developing this in class:
https://gist.github.com/SHoltzen/8e c4d0ec1619a623ff8a4779072eb660

